	Аррисаціон но.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/580,759	BELL ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	EDWYN LABAZE	2887
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>EDWYN LABAZE</u> .	(3)	
(2) Mr. JOSEPH CALVARUSO.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 25 February 2010	Time: <u>1:30 PM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 26		
Prior art documents discussed: none		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: I called Mr. Cavaruso to inform him that claim 26 lacks the allowable subject. Mr. Calvaruso graciously gave permission to an examiner's amendments (see detail office action).		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
/EDWYN LABAZE/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2887	(Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)